



McCarthy Tétrault LLP
PO Box 48, Suite 5300
Toronto-Dominion Bank Tower
Toronto ON M5K 1E6
Canada
Tel: 416-362-1812
Fax: 416-868-0673

Michael Foderick*
Partner
Direct Line: 416-601-7783
Direct Fax: 416-868-0673
Email: mfoderick@mccarthy.ca
***Professional Corporation**

Assistant: Barredo, Hayley
Direct Line: 416-601-8200 x542065
Email: hbarredo@mccarthy.ca

December 5, 2025

Hon. Rob Flack, Minister
Municipal Affairs and Housing
777 Bay Street, 17th Floor
Toronto, ON M7A 2J3

Dear Minister Flack:

Re: Town of Fort Erie Official Plan – By-law No. 78-2025
ERO Number: 025-1181
Ministry Reference Number: 26-OP-255436

We are writing on behalf of Niagara Radio Group Inc., the registered owner of the property municipally known as 885 Kraft Road (the “**Subject Property**”) in the Town of Fort Erie (the “**Town**”). The new Town of Fort Erie Official Plan (the “**New Official Plan**”) was adopted by Town Council on August 25, 2025 and has been sent to the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing for approval pursuant to the *Planning Act*. The New Official Plan is intended to comprehensively apply provincial land use and planning policies while also addressing local priorities.

The Subject Property is located on the west side of Kraft Road, south of Garrison Road, and is approximately 37.2 hectares (91.9 acres) in size. The Subject Property is currently undeveloped. It is within the *Settlement Area (Urban Area)* in the New Official Plan and situated within both a *Delineated Built-up Area* and a *Designated Growth Area*, and is proposed to be designated *Community Area* and *Natural Environment System*.

Our client is in the process of preparing a comprehensive development application to facilitate the development of the Subject Property with a new residential subdivision that will contain a substantial number of new homes which will provide much needed housing consistent with the Province’s Housing Supply Action Plan (HSAP) commitment to significantly increase housing supply.

The final version of the New Official Plan contains several significant issues that we wish to draw to your attention as requiring modification.

1. Secondary Plan Requirement

Requested Change:

Insert new Policy F.4.1.10. immediately below F.4.1.9. as: “Notwithstanding any other policy in this Plan, where demonstrated by site-specific technical studies that development may proceed

independently of the secondary plan process, the requirement for a secondary plan will be waived.”

Rationale:

In our view, not all sites within *Designated Growth Areas*, such as the Subject Property, require a secondary plan to be prepared prior to being developed as contemplated by the current policies in the New Official Plan. Policies F.4.1.1. and F.4.1.2. currently impose severe restrictions on sizeable lands within *Designated Growth Areas* that could otherwise be developed without the need for a secondary plan.

This requested change allows for exemptions based on site-specific technical studies in lieu of waiting for a secondary plan to be completed. Also, in our view, the New Official Plan already contains sufficient policy to manage growth effectively, as well as to address local contexts, thus making secondary plans somewhat redundant. Further, this requested change allows our client to quickly and efficiently develop a large and significant urban designated parcel, which will directly result in the creation of a substantial number of new homes.

In addition, Policy F.4.1.2. includes the term “large scale development”, however this term is undefined in both policy and in the Glossary of Defined Terms (Section F.11). Without a clear definition or threshold, such as a minimum number of units, site area, or square footage, it is unclear how this term will be applied. This lack of clarity will introduce uncertainty for landowners and developers, as the Town will retain discretion to determine what constitutes large scale development on a case-by-case basis.

2. Subwatershed Study Requirement

Requested Change:

Insert new Policy G.31.3. immediately below G.31.2. as: “Notwithstanding any other policy or Schedule in this Plan, where demonstrated by site-specific technical studies that a subwatershed study is not required, the requirement for a subwatershed study will be waived.”

Rationale:

The Subject Property has been identified on Schedule O - Site Specific Policy Areas (SSPA) of the New Official Plan as within SSPA G.31 “Kraft Drain Area”. In our view, not all sites require a subwatershed study to be prepared prior to being developed, as contemplated by the current policy in the New Official Plan.

Site Specific Policy G. 31.1. currently imposes a severe restriction on lands within the Kraft Drain Area that could otherwise be developed without the need for a subwatershed study. This requested change allows for exemptions based on site-specific technical studies in lieu of waiting for a subwatershed study to be completed. Also, in our view, the New Official Plan already contains sufficient environmental policy to provide watershed guidance for defined local areas within *Settlement Areas*, including the Kraft Drain Area, thus making a subwatershed study somewhat redundant.

This requested change also allows our client to quickly and efficiently develop a large and significant urban designated parcel, which will directly result in the creation of a substantial number of new homes.

3. Amended Mapping

Requested Changes:

Modify Schedule A – Town Structure by changing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System* to *Settlement Area*.

Modify Schedule B – Designated Growth Areas by changing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System* to *Settlement Area*.

Modify Schedule C – Employment Areas by changing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System* to *Settlement Area*.

Modify Schedule D – Delineated Built Up Area by changing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System* to *Settlement Area*.

Modify Schedule D.1 – Delineated Built Up Area by changing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System* to *Settlement Area*.

Modify Schedule E – Land Use Plan by changing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System* to *Community Area*.

Modify Schedule F – Natural Environment System by removing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System*.

Modify Schedule G – Natural Environment System Features by removing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Natural Environment System Features*.

Modify Schedule I – Hazardous Lands by removing certain parts of the Subject Property labeled as *Approximate Regulatory Limit of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority*.

Rationale:

The mapping in the New Official Plan is inconsistent throughout for the Subject Property, does not adopt current Ministry of Natural Resources mapping, and despite the aforementioned inconsistencies, designates nearly all of the Subject Property as part of the *Natural Environment System*, which is based on outdated and/or inaccurate data. In our view, these environmental overlays are overstated, and erroneous and recent Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) mapping confirms little to no provincially significant wetlands presence on-site.

The inconsistent and broad environmental mapping in the New Official Plan functionally sterilizes a site located within both a *Delineated Built-up Area* and a *Designated Growth Area* thus creating unnecessary barriers to development. The Town's Mayor has noted concerns that the *Natural Environment System* mapping appears to be inaccurate during one of the Town Council meetings which dealt with the New Official Plan. We would be pleased to provide revised mapping, which is currently being prepared by our client's environmental consultant in respect of the Subject Property.

In our view, not only are the above-noted policies and schedules in the New Official Plan overly restrictive and internally inconsistent, but in their current form they significantly slow or even prevent any development on the Subject Property. If the New Official Plan is not amended to

provide relief to the overly preclusive policy language and the inaccurate/inconsistent mapping the delivery of a substantial number of new homes may be jeopardized.

Thank you for your consideration of these requested changes. Should you have any questions, require any additional information or would like to meet to discuss further, please do not hesitate to contact the writer.

Sincerely,

McCarthy Tétrault LLP

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Foderick', written in a cursive style.

Michael Foderick

MF/JC/rj

Attachments